

Last time

- Koszul conjecture
- Goal: homology of products
- Tensor products of chain complexes
- Künneth theorem

Q How to relate $C_*(X \times Y)$ and $C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$?

Corollary Let C and D be chain complexes of free Abelian groups. If both have the homology of a point, then so does $C \otimes D$.

Observation 1 If X and Y are contractible, then $C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$ and $C_*(X \times Y)$ have the same homology. In particular, this is so if X and Y are standard simplices.

Observation 2 $C_*(X \times Y) \cong C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$
canonically.

As we will show, the first implies that the second is all that matters.

In the following, we consider chain maps $\varphi_{x,y}$ with fixed source and target $\{C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y), C_*(X \times Y)\}$. The word "natural" refers to commutativity of (for example)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_*(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x,y}} & C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y) \\
 (f \times g)_\# \downarrow & & \downarrow f_\# \otimes g_\# \\
 C_*(Z \times W) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{z,w}} & C_*(Z) \otimes C_*(W)
 \end{array}$$

for all maps $f: X \rightarrow Z$ and $g: Y \rightarrow W$.

Thm (Acyclic models) Any two such natural chain maps are naturally chain homotopic provided they agree in degree 0.

Proof For concreteness, take

$$\varphi, \psi: C_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$$

(subscripts suppressed). We wish to

define $\Gamma_n: C_n(X \times Y) \rightarrow (C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y))_{n+1}$

such that $\partial\Gamma + \Gamma\partial = \varphi - \psi$. Since $\varphi = \psi$

in degree 0, we may take $\Gamma_0 \equiv 0$ and proceed inductively. Naturality forces commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_*(X \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y) \\
 \uparrow \sigma_{\#} & \nearrow (\pi_X \circ \sigma, \pi_Y \circ \sigma)_{\#} & \uparrow (\pi_X \circ \sigma)_{\#} \otimes (\pi_Y \circ \sigma)_{\#} \\
 \text{id} \uparrow C_*(\Delta^n) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\#}} C_*(\Delta^n \times \Delta^n) & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} C_*(\Delta^n) \otimes C_*(\Delta^n)
 \end{array}$$

So Γ is determined by its value on

$$\alpha_n := \Delta_{\#}(\text{id}_{\Delta^n}) \in C_n(\Delta^n \times \Delta^n).$$

Requiring Γ to be a chain homotopy forces the equation

$$\partial\Gamma(\alpha_n) \stackrel{?}{=} \ell(\alpha_n) - \psi(\alpha_n) - \Gamma\partial(\alpha_n), \quad (*)$$

and we define $\Gamma(\alpha_n)$ to be any $(n+1)$ -chain satisfying this equation. To check that such a chain exists, note that the RHS of $(*)$ is a cycle, since its boundary is

$$\ell(\partial\alpha_n) - \psi(\partial\alpha_n) - \partial\Gamma\partial(\alpha_n)$$

and $\partial\Gamma\partial(\alpha_n) = \varphi(\partial\alpha_n) - \psi(\partial\alpha_n) - \Gamma\partial^2(\alpha_n)^0$
by induction. But $n > 0$, so

$$H_n(C_*(\Delta^n) \otimes C_*(\Delta^n)) = 0$$

by the corollary, so every n -cycle is a
boundary. □

An identical argument shows that
such a natural chain map exists; we
simply replace the equation $\partial\Gamma = \varphi - \psi - \Gamma\partial$
with $\partial\varphi = \varphi\partial$.

Corollary $C_*(X \times Y)$ and $C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$ are naturally chain homotopy equivalent.

Proof Choose natural chain maps

$$C_*(X \times Y) \xrightarrow{\varphi} C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y) \xrightarrow{\psi} C_*(X \times Y)$$

extending the isomorphism in degree 0.

Then $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a natural chain map restricting to the identity in degree 0, hence chain homotopic to the identity, and similarly for $\varphi \circ \psi$. □

For later use, we record a specific choice.

Def The Alexander-Whitney map is the map

$$C_n(X \times Y) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C_p(X) \otimes C_q(Y)$$

$$\sigma \longmapsto \sum_{p+q=n} \pi_X \circ \sigma \Big|_{[e_0, \dots, e_p]} \otimes \pi_Y \circ \sigma \Big|_{[e_{p+1}, \dots, e_n]}$$

Exercise The AW map is a chain map.

Since this map is the canonical isomorphism for $n=0$, it is a chain homotopy equivalence by acyclic models.

Using the equivalence $C_*(X \times Y) \cong C_*(X) \otimes C_*(Y)$
and Künneth, we can now easily compute
the homology of, say, an arbitrary
product of spheres... but we could
have done this before. Its true
utility is hidden behind duality.

Recall The dual of the Abelian group

A is the Abelian group

$$A^\vee := \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z})$$

of homomorphisms $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, with
group law

$$(\pi + \mu)(a) = \pi(a) + \mu(a).$$

Ex (1) $\mathbb{Z}^\vee \cong \mathbb{Z}$ via $\pi \mapsto \pi(1)$

(2) $(A \oplus B)^\vee \cong A^\vee \oplus B^\vee$ via $\pi \mapsto (\pi|_A, \pi|_B)$

(3) So $(\mathbb{Z}^r)^\vee \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$

(4) But $(\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} A_n)^\vee \cong \prod_{\mathbb{N}} A_n^\vee \neq \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} A_n^\vee$.

(5) $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\vee = 0$.

Warning There are other duals and other
notations.

A homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ induces
a homomorphism $\varphi^\vee: B^\vee \rightarrow A^\vee$ by restriction.

What kind of thing is the dual of a
chain complex?

Def A cochain complex is a sequence $\{C^n\}_{n \geq 0}$
of Abelian groups, together with homo-
morphisms $d^n: C^{n-1} \rightarrow C^n$ such that $d^{n+1} \circ d^n = 0$

The n^{th} cohomology group of (C, d) is

$$H^n(C) = \frac{\ker d^{n+1}}{\operatorname{im} d^n}$$

Ex If (C, ∂) is a chain complex, then (C^\vee, ∂^\vee) is a cochain complex.

Def The complex of singular cochains on X is $C^*(X) = C_*(X)^\vee$. Its cohomology is the singular cohomology of X , denoted $H^*(X)$.