

Last time

- Compactly supported cohomology
 - Direct limits
-

Corollary Suppose that $X = U \cup V$ with U and V open. There is a long exact sequence of the form

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^n(U) \oplus H_c^n(V) \rightarrow H_c^n(X) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof Given compact $K \subseteq U$ and $L \subseteq V$, there is the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^n(X, X \setminus K) \oplus H^n(X, X \setminus L) \rightarrow H^n(X, X \setminus K \cup L)$$

Passing to direct limits

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ H^{n+1}(X, X \setminus K \cup L) \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

over the directed set of such pairs (K, L) produces an exact sequence by the corollary, whose terms we identify.

(1) By excision $H^n(X, X \setminus K) \cong H^n(U, U \setminus K)$ and $H^n(X, X \setminus L) \cong H^n(V, V \setminus L)$, and

$$\varinjlim_{(K, L)} H^n(U, U \setminus K) \oplus H^n(V, V \setminus L)$$

$$\varinjlim_K H^n(U, U \setminus K) \oplus \varinjlim_L H^n(V, V \setminus L) = H_c^n(U) \oplus H_c^n(V)$$

$$(2) \varinjlim_{(K,L)} H^n(X, X \setminus K \cup L) \cong \varinjlim_A H^n(X, X \setminus A) = H_c^n(X),$$

since compact $A \subseteq X$ is contained in the union of compact $A \cap (X \setminus V) \subseteq U$ and $A \cap (X \setminus U) \subseteq V$.

$$(3) \varinjlim H^n(X, X \setminus K \cap L) \cong \varinjlim H^n(U \cap V, U \cap V \setminus K \cap L) \\ = H_c^n(U \cap V),$$

similarly. \square

We now construct the duality map

$$D: H_c^k(M) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M).$$

To begin, we note that there is a

relative version of the cap product,
 given by the same formula:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_k(A) \otimes C^l(x, A) & \xrightarrow{\quad \circ \quad} & \\
 \downarrow & & \\
 C_k(x) \otimes C^l(x, A) \rightarrow C_k(x) \otimes C^l(x) \xrightarrow{\cap} C_{k-l}(x) & & \\
 \downarrow \Downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \\
 C_k(x, A) \otimes C^l(x, A) & \xrightarrow{\quad \exists! \quad} &
 \end{array}$$

Construction

(1) Choose a section of \tilde{M} , giving rise to unique classes $\alpha_k \in H_n(M, M \setminus K)$.

(2) For $i: K \subseteq L$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^k(M, M \setminus K) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_k \cap -} & \\ \downarrow i^* & \searrow & \downarrow \\ H^k(M, M \setminus L) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_L \cap -} & H_{n-k}(M) \end{array}$$

commutes, since $i_* \alpha_L = \alpha_k$ by uniqueness, so

$$\alpha_L \cap i^* \lambda = i_* \alpha_L \cap \lambda = \alpha_k \cap \lambda.$$

(3) These homomorphisms induce

$$D: H_c^k(M) \cong \varinjlim H^k(M, M/k) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M)$$

Thm For M connected and oriented, the map

$$D: H_c^k(M) \longrightarrow H_{n-k}(M)$$

is an isomorphism.

Lemma 1 Suppose that $M = U \cup V$ with U and V open. The following diagram commutes up to sign:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & H_c^k(U) \oplus H_c^k(V) & \rightarrow & H_c^k(M) & \rightarrow & H_c^{k+1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow D_U \oplus D_V & & \downarrow D_M & & \downarrow D_{U \cap V} \\ \dots & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(U) \oplus H_{n-k}(V) & \rightarrow & H_{n-k}(M) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{n-k-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Remark on signs Different sign conventions are used for the cap product. With Hatcher's convention, it is only a chain map up to sign, which is good enough. Strict commutativity can be achieved by setting $\sigma \cap \lambda = (-1)^{k\ell} \lambda(\sigma|_{[e_1, \dots, e_k]}) \cap (\sigma|_{[e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n]})$.

We defer the proof of this lemma.

Corollary If PD holds for U, V , and $U \cap V$, then it holds for $U \cup V$.

Lemma 2 If PD holds for U_i and $U_i \subseteq U_{i+1}$, then it holds for $\bigcup_{\pm} U_i$.

Proof Since a compact subspace of U_i is also a compact subspace of U_{i+1} , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & H_c^k(U_i) & \rightarrow & H_c^k(U_{i+1}) & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \cong \downarrow D_{U_i} & & \cong \downarrow D_{U_{i+1}} & & \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n-k}(U_i) \rightarrow H_{n-k}(U_{i+1}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Forming direct limits, the claim follows.

□

Lemma 3 If PD holds for an arbitrary open subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , then it holds for M .

Proof If M admits a countable cover by open sets $U_i \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, apply Lemma 2 to the open exhaustion $\{\bigcup_{i \leq j} U_i\}_{j \geq 0}$ to reduce to a finite union of Euclidean neighborhoods, then induct on the cardinality of the union using the corollary. In the uncountable case, use Zorn's lemma.

Lemma 4 If PD holds for \mathbb{R}^n , then it \square holds for an arbitrary open subspace thereof.

Proof By assumption and homeomorphism invariance, PD holds for any open ball, hence for any bounded convex open subspace of \mathbb{R}^n . An arbitrary open may be covered by open balls, and any finite intersection of these is bounded, convex, and open, so the argument of Lemma 3 applies. □